

# Matricial Norms and the Differences between the Zeros of Determinants with Polynomial Elements

José Vitória<sup>†</sup>

*Departamento de Matemática  
Universidade de Coimbra  
Coimbra, Portugal*

Dedicated to Alston S. Householder  
on the occasion of his seventy-fifth birthday.

Submitted by Emeric Deutsch

## ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give a method for finding upper bounds for the absolute values of the differences between two latent roots of a lambda-matrix, that is to say, for the differences between two zeros of the determinant of a lambda-matrix. We specialize for complex polynomials.

We intend to determine upper bounds for the absolute values of the differences between any two roots of  $\det M(\lambda) = 0$ , where

$$M(\lambda) = I_s \lambda^n + A_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + A_{n-1} \lambda + A_n,$$

$A_j$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) being complex  $s \times s$  matrices, i.e.,  $A_j \in M_{s,s}(\mathbb{C})$ . Here and in the sequel  $I_p$  denotes the  $p \times p$  unit matrix.

It is known [1, 3, 5] that the zeros of  $\det M(\lambda)$  are the eigenvalues of the block-companion matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_s & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_s & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_s \\ -A_n & -A_{n-1} & -A_{n-2} & \cdots & -A_2 & -A_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{ns, ns}(\mathbb{C}).$$

<sup>†</sup>Much of the research for this paper was done while the author was a member of the Mathematics Department of the University of Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo, People's Republic of Mozambique.

Clearly, the roots of the equation  $\det M_1(\lambda) = 0$ , where

$$M_1(\lambda) = I_s \lambda^n - A_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} A_{n-1} \lambda + (-1)^n A_n,$$

are the negatives of the roots of  $\det M(\lambda) = 0$ .

The block-companion matrix of  $M_1(\lambda)$  is

$$C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_s & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_s & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & I_s \\ n+1 & n & n-1 & \cdots & -A_2 & A_1 \\ (-1)A_n & (-1)A_{n-1} & (-1)A_{n-2} & \cdots & -A_2 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \in M_{ns, ns}(\mathbb{C}).$$

According to a result of Rutherford [8; 5, p. 134], the  $n^2 s^2$  differences between the eigenvalues of  $C$  are the eigenvalues of the matrix

$$C[C_1] = C * I_{ns} + I_{ns} * C_1 \in M_{n^2 s^2, n^2 s^2}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $X * Y$  denotes the Kronecker product of the matrices  $X$  and  $Y$ .

We have

$$C[C_1] =$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} \Delta C_1 & \Delta I_{ns} & \Delta 0 & \cdots & \Delta 0 & \Delta 0 \\ \Delta 0 & \Delta C_1 & \Delta I_{ns} & \cdots & \Delta 0 & \Delta 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \Delta 0 & \Delta 0 & \Delta 0 & \cdots & \Delta C_1 & \Delta I_{ns} \\ \hline -A_n * I_{ns} & -A_{n-1} * I_{ns} & -A_{n-2} * I_{ns} & \cdots & -A_2 * I_{ns} & -A_1 * I_{ns} + \Delta C_1 \end{array} \right) \in M_{n^2 s^2, n^2 s^2}(\mathbb{C}), \quad (1)$$

where

$$\Delta A = \text{diag}(\underbrace{A, A, \dots, A}_{s \text{ times}}).$$

If  $s = 1$  [that is, if  $M(\lambda)$  is a polynomial with scalar coefficients], then (1) becomes

$$C[C_1] = \left( \begin{array}{ccccc|c} C_1 & I_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_1 & I_n & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & C_1 & I_n \\ \hline -A_n I_n & -A_{n-1} I_n & -A_{n-2} I_n & \cdots & -A_2 I_n & -A_1 I_n + C_1 \end{array} \right) \in M_{n^2, n^2}(\mathbb{C}). \quad (2)$$

Let  $\varphi$  denote the matricial norm on  $M_{n^2, n^2}(\mathbb{C})$  induced by the column norm<sup>1</sup>  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and by the indicated partitioning of  $C[C_1]$ .

Denoting

$$S = \max |z_i - z_j|,$$

where the maximum is taken over all zeros  $z_i, z_j$  of  $\det M(\lambda)$ , and denoting by  $\rho(A)$  the spectral radius of a matrix  $A$ , we have [2, 6, 7, 10]

$$S = \rho(C[C_1]) \leq \rho(\varphi(C[C_1])). \quad (3)$$

If  $s = 1$ , then we have from (2) and (3)

$$S \leq \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \alpha & 1 \\ p & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \max_{i=1, 2, \dots, n-1} \{1 + |A_i|, |A_n|\}, \\ p &= \max_{i=2, \dots, n} |A_i|, \\ \gamma &= \max_{i=2, \dots, n-1} \{1 + |A_1| + |A_i|, |A_1| + |A_n|\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $s > 1$ , then from (1) we deduce

$$\varphi(C[C_1]) \leq \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \|C_1\|_1 & 1 \\ P & \|A_1\|_1 + \|C_1\|_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $P = \max_{i=2, \dots, n} \|A_i\|_1$ , and so (3) becomes

$$S \leq \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \beta & 1 \\ P & \|A_1\|_1 + \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta = \|C_1\|_1 = \|C\|_1 = \max_{i=1, \dots, n-1} \{1 + \|A_i\|_1, \|A_n\|_1\}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>For  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{p,p}(\mathbb{C})$ , we have  $\|A\|_1 = \max_{j=1, 2, \dots, p} \{\sum_{i=1}^p |a_{ij}|\}$ . Of course, instead of  $\|\cdot\|_1$  we can take other matrix norms as well.

REMARK 1. If in the matrix (1) we take the scalar norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  of each of the  $n^2$  blocks, then we obtain

$$S \leq 2\beta. \quad (6)$$

REMARK 2. The bound given by (5) may be better than the bounds (4), (7) and (8), where

$$S \leq 2\sqrt{(n-1) + \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^2 - \frac{2}{n}|a_1|^2} \quad [4; 5, \text{pp. 13, 133}] \quad (7)$$

and

$$S \leq 2\alpha \quad [9], \quad (8)$$

if we expand  $\det M(\lambda) = 0$ .

NUMERICAL EXAMPLE. For  $\det M(\lambda) = \det(I\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_2)$ , with

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ -6 & -9 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 12 & 14 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have

$$(5): \quad S \leq 28.4; \quad (6): \quad S \leq 33.$$

Expanding the determinant, we have

$$\det M(\lambda) = \lambda^4 - 10\lambda^3 + 35\lambda^2 - 50\lambda + 24,$$

and we obtain

$$(4): \quad S \leq 64; \quad (8): \quad S \leq 102; \quad (7): \quad S \leq 93.32.$$

REMARK 3. Let us now consider the polynomial [5, p. 134]

$$f(z) = z^6 - z^5 + 2z^4 + 2z^3 + 2z^2 + z - 1.$$

We have

$$(4): S \leq 5.41; \quad (8): S \leq 6; \quad (7): S \leq 6.25.$$

That is, the inequalities (4) and (8) can give better bounds than (7).

*Thanks are due to Emeric Deutsch for valuable remarks concerning the substance and the presentation of this paper.*

#### REFERENCES

- 1 S. Barnett, *Matrices in Control Theory*, Van Nostrand, London, 1971.
- 2 E. Deutsch, Matricial norms, *Numer. Math.* 16: 73–84 (1970).
- 3 F. R. Dias Agudo, Sobre a equação característica de uma matriz, *Rev. Fac. Ci. Univ. Lisboa*, III: 87–136 (1953–54).
- 4 L. Mirsky, The spread of a matrix, *Mathematica* 3: 127–130 (1956) (referred to in [5]).
- 5 M. Parodi, *La localisation des valeurs caractéristiques des matrices et ses applications*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1959.
- 6 F. Robert, Normes vectorielles de vecteurs et de matrices, *R.F.T.I. CHIFFRES* 7(4): 261–299 (1964).
- 7 F. Robert, Étude et utilisation de normes vectorielles en analyse numérique linéaire, Thèse, Grenoble, 1968.
- 8 M. D. E. Rutherford, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* 62: 229 (1946–48) (referred to in [5]).
- 9 J. Vitória, Matricial norms and lambda-matrices, *Rev. Ci. Mat. Univ. Lourenço Marques* 5: 11–30 (1974/75).
- 10 J. Vitória, Normas vectoriais de vectores e de matrizes, Report, Univ. Lourenço Marques, Moçambique, 1974.

*Received 24 February 1978; revised 19 June 1978*